

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ょ	ζ	2	5	3	O
<u>ノ</u>	<u> </u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT FILING DATE SERIAL NUMBER 07/352,530 05/15/89 **FABBIO** AT989039

WAYNE P. BAILEY IBM CORP., INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT., 932/815, ZIP 4054 11400 BURNET ROAD AUSTIN, TX 78758

PAPER NUMBER
10

04/28/92

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

		•					
ETH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:						
a) 📴	la extended to run	_ or continues to run _	3mos	from the date of the final rejection			
ь) 🗀	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.						
	The date on which the response, the	petition, and the fee ha	ive been filed is the responding amount	(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. e date of the response and also the date for the of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR riod for response or as set forth in b) above.			
□ Ay	ppellant's Brief is due in accordance wit						
to	oplicant's response to the final rejection place the application in condition for al	lowance:	·	idered with the following effect, but it is not deemed			
1.				and the final rejection stands because:			
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.						
	b. They raise new issues that wou	ld require further consid	deration and/or sea	urch. (See Note).			
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).						
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.						
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	NOTE:						
			······································				
2	Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	wo	ould be allowed if s	submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling			
з. 🗀	Upon the filing an appeal, the propos be as follows:	ed amendment 🗌 wil	l be entered 🔲 w	ill not be entered and the status of the claims will			
	Claims allowed:						
	Claims objected to:		*	•			
	Claims rejected:						
	Applicant's response has overco	me the following rejecti	ion(s):				
•			·				
4. 🗷	27 15 NOT DIDE CHAND	$\nabla D A como$	UTF02 /MD	DES NOT OVERCOME the rejection because CLAIM PLEMENTON PROCESS BUT TO PARTITION CLASS OF INVENTION.			
5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be corpresented.	sidered because applic	ant has not shown	good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier			
□ •••	·	ne 🔲 has not heen a	onroved by the exa	aminer.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other							
ЩМ	(11G)			GARY V. HANKOOM			
				SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINET ART-UNIT 2319 7			
DTOL-3	203 (REV 5-89)						